

# ADR Meeting Summary

---

Daniel Parcher [REDACTED]

Fri, May 2, 2025 at 2:08 PM

Board,

**We would like an opportunity to finish the 4/29 ADR meeting as soon as possible. Please let us know when we can meet.**

During the ADR Meeting on 4/29, we discussed a modest proposal for resolving our dispute. In particular, we proposed that the board make a factual statement about rule violations to explain to the neighborhood that one-night rentals are not correlated with rule violations according to the HOA's records. The following is a copy of that statement:

During the February board meeting, the board incorrectly stated that we see a correlation between one night rentals and transient rule violations. The board is not in possession of any evidence that one-night rentals result in rule violations. We apologize for confusion on this issue.

During the meeting, the board did not agree to make this statement. However, Mark Werder made a statement at the conclusion of the board meeting immediately following in which he said that the board does not have any data correlating one-night rentals with rule violations. **We would like Mark's statement reflected in the meeting minutes.** We would also like our proposed statement above emailed explicitly to the homeowners. Based on our discussion at the ADR and the following board meeting, I am not aware of any reason why this should be declined.

At the ADR meeting we also discussed our group's request that the board review the complaint against [REDACTED] submitted by [REDACTED] last summer (and shared with me), so that the board can at least be aware of the conflict of interest in [REDACTED] role in proposing a covenant restriction which seems directly targeted at [REDACTED]. That conversation was prematurely ended when our ADR meeting was inadvertently terminated 15 minutes ahead of schedule by Mark's zoom. We would like an opportunity to finish this discussion, and since [REDACTED] is decidedly conflicted out of this part of the discussion, we request that [REDACTED] recuse [REDACTED] self.

To be clear, our intent is not to argue the merits or details of [REDACTED]'s complaint. Our intent is to convince the board that they simply need to avail themselves of this information to understand the conflict of interest that the board's actions (in advancing a one-night rental ban) pose for the community.

Please let us know when we can finish our meeting,  
Dan Parcher